Cloning and Partial Characterization of Cotton Leaf Curl Burewala Virus From Khanewal

Fizza Akhter Butt, Dr. Muhammad Tahir*

Atta-Ur-Rahman School of Applied Biosciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Sector H-12 Islamabad Pakistan *Corresponding Author:_gulsbs@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Begomoviruses are a serious threat to cotton production throughout the world. In Pakistan, enormous crop losses occur as a result of cotton leaf curl disease (CLCuD) caused by begomoviruses. Molecular characterization of begomoviruses has made possible the identification and analysis of begomoviruses prevalent in a host plant. Infected cotton leaf sample (C-59) was obtained from area around Khanewal during 2011. The total DNA was isolated from the infected sample by Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method. An expected size band of approximately 1100bp, covering coat protein region of the virus, was amplified using universal primers. The amplified product was T/A cloned and sequenced to its entirety. DNA sequence showed 99% nucleotide sequence identity to each of Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus ((CLCuBuV; Accession No HF549184)) and Cotton leaf curl Kokhran virus (CLCuKV; Accession No AJ002449)). Since CLCuBuV is a recombinant of CLCuKV and Cotton leaf curl Multan virus and the coat protein region of CLCuBuV was derived from CLCuKV that is most probable reason that the available sequence showed identity with CLCuBuV as well as CLCuKV. A complete characterization of full length virus will determine whether isolate C-59 is CLCuBuV or CLCuKV. Literature indicates that there is no existence of CLCuKV within the region and CLCuBuV is dominating within Indo-Pak.

Key Words: Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus, Cotton leaf curl Multan virus, Cotton leaf curl Kokhran virus, Cotton leaf curl disease, C5, Coat protein, Replication enhancer protein.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Begomoviruses

Begomoviruses have been divided into two groups based on genome organization. The genome is either monopartite or bipartite. Monopartite genome constitutes only DNA A whereas bipartite genome is composed of DNA A and DNA B components. The genome replication takes place through double stranded DNA intermediates (Hanley-Bowdoin et al., 1999).

1.2. Bipartite Begomoviruses

Bipartite Begomoviruses have two genomic components designated as DNA A and DNA B (Lazarowitz, 1992). Six genes are coded by DNAA whereas DNA B codes two genes. Coat protein gene (CP or V1) and the pre-coat protein (V2) gene are encoded on the virion sense strand whereas replication-associated protein gene (Rep or C1), transcriptional activator protein gene (TrAP or C3), replication enhancer protein gene (REn or C3) and the C4 gene are found on the complementary sense strand of DNAA. Movement protein (MP) and the nuclear shuttle protein (NSP) are coded on the DNAB component (Kallender et al., 1998).

1.2.1. Function of the Virion Sense Proteins

The coat protein plays a role in encapsidation of ssDNA, movement of the begomovirus and insect transmission (Sharma and Ikegami 2009). Silencing suppression and pathogenicity determination is carried out of by the pre-coat protein (Yadava et al., 2010).

1.2.2. Function of the Complementary Sense Proteins

Located in the IR is the origin of replication containing a nonanucleotide sequence (TAATATT/AC) that forms a stem loop structure. Binding of Rep to the viral iterons positioned upstream of the origin of replication present in the IR initiates viral DNA synthesis (Nagar et al., 1995). Transcriptional activator protein (TrAP) blocks hypersensitive response to the begomovirus infecting a plant (Mubin et al., 2010). It also acts as a silencing suppressor and functions in the up-regulation of virion sense genes (Yang et al., 2007). Replication enhancer protein (REn) is involved in viral DNA replication (Pasumarthy et al., 2011). The C4 protein is involved in the determination of symptoms and virus movement (Jupin et al., 1994). All these six proteins are encoded on the DNA A component (Figure 1.1).



Figure 1.1. Genomic maps of DNA A and DNA B components of a begomovirus.

1.2.3. Function of the Proteins on DNAB

Movement protein (MP) aids in the movement of the bipartite begomovirus across plasmodesmata. The virus is transported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm with the help of nuclear shuttle protein. Both proteins are encoded on the DNA B component (Hanley-Bowdoin et al., 1999) (Figure 1.1).

1.3. Monopartite Begomoviruses

A sole genomic element of approximately 2.8 kb termed as DNA A constitutes the genome of monopartite begomoviruses. This single genomic constituent holds genes that are responsible for all viral functions in its entirety (Tan et al., 1995). Some monopartite begomoviruses require a betasatellite for their symptom modulation. The size of the betasatellite is half the size of the helper virus and depends on it for the encapsidation, replication, insect transmission and movement. Betasatellite shares no sequence similarity with the helper component although the nonanucleotide sequence is conserved (Zhou et al., 2003). (Figure 1.2).



Figure 1.2. Betasatellite molecule identified in begomoviruses.

1.3.1. Function of Betasatellites

The only gene encoded by the begomovirus betasatellite is the β C1 gene, encoded on the complementary sense strand, required for the virulence of the helper begomovirus. A region rich in adenine and a satellite conserved region (SCR) are located on the virion sense strand (Rojas et al., 2001) (Figure 1.2). Betasatellites can be trans-replicated by any helper component in a non-specific way. The best example is the trans-replication of Cotton leaf curl Multan betasatellite (CLCuMB) by Ageratum yellow vein virus (AYVV) (Saunders et al., 2008).

1.4. Importance of Begomoviruses and Cotton Leaf Curl Disease

The devastating losses of crops such as tomato, bean, cotton and cucurbits in USA at the end of 20th century due to the dissemination of begomoviruses by Bemisia tabaci have been reported (Henneberry et al., 2000) Pakistan economy has suffered due to losses in cotton production as a result of Begomovirus infection with additional losses in cucurbits, cassava and pepper production (Briddon and Markham, 2000). The major disease affecting cotton in Pakistan since 1967 is the cotton leaf curl disease (CLCuD) first reported from Multan. It is a disease complex that involves leaf curling and formation of enations on the underside of leaves. (Hussain et al., 1991). The disease in Pakistan occurred in 1967 in the Punjab province with a decline in the disease incidence at the end of the 20th century due to the establishment of resistant cotton varieties against it. The disease has emerged as a serious threat to cotton production since 2001 due the development of overpowering breakdown of resistance in the disease causing begomovirus. Until 2003 occurrence of CLCuD in Sindh was irregular with no serious consequences to the cotton production in Sindh than in other localities of Pakistan but in the year 2003 the disease has appeared to be severe and devastating (Mansoor et al., 2003).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Infected cotton leaf samples were collected from area around Khanewal during 2011. The leaves were stored at -80°C until further processing.

2.1. Diagnosis of the Viral DNA

The method employed for the isolation of total nucleic acid was similar to that set forth by Doyle and Doyle in 1990. The extracted DNA was subjected to polymerase chain reaction using universal primers (Table 2.1).

 Table 2.1. Primer pairs for partial amplification of begomoviruses.

Primer	Sequence
CLCV1	CCGTGCTGCTGCCCCCATTGTCCGCGTCAC
CLCV2	CTGCCACAACCATGGATTCACGCACAGGG

2.2. Transformation and Cloning

The PCR product run on 1% agarose gel was gel eluted using Silica Bead DNA Gel Extraction Kit # K0153. Ligation of the purified DNA with the pTZ57R/T vector was performed (InsTAcloneTM PCR Cloning Kit). Competent E. coli DH5 α cells were prepared using calcium chloride method as mentioned by Cohen et al. (1972). Transformation of competent E. coli DH5 α cells was followed using standard protocol as mentioned by Sambrook and Russell, 2001.

2.3. Screening of Potential Clones

Plasmid DNA was isolated using Alkaline lysis method. Double digestion of the isolated plasmid with 5 units each of EcoR1 and HindIII was performed to confirm the presence of insert. Plasmid isolated using kit protocol (FavorPrepTM Nucleic acid extraction) was sent for sequencing.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Diagnosis of the Viral DNA

PCR amplification of the extracted DNA using universal primer designed for the partial characterization of begomovirus revealed a fragment of approximately 1.1kb on 1% agarose gel (Figure 3.1).



Figure 3.1. Total DNA (T. DNA) extracted using CTAB method. Lane 1: Contains T. DNA of C-59. Lane M: Standard 1kb ladder.

3.2. Transformation and Cloning

The purified DNA was ligated into T/A cloning vector (pTZ57R/T) vector for transformation. The ligated product was used to transform DH5@ cells. Blue white colonies appeared on the agar plate containing ampicillin, IPTG and XGAL after 16 hours incubation. White colonies were selected for the screening of clones containing the required DNA fragment.

3.3. Screening of Potential Clones

Double digestion of the isolated plasmid with 5 units each of EcoR1 and HindIII generated two fragments: one fragment of approximately 3000bp or 3kb and the other of nearly 1200bp or 1.2kb. The larger band indicated the plasmid DNA while the small band indicated that the partial amplified DNA had been cloned (Figure 3.2).



Figure 3.2. Gel picture showing double digestion of Plasmid DNA with EcoR1 and Hind111. Lane 1 shows double digestion of plasmid DNA obtained for clone 1. Lane 2 shows the double digestion of plasmid DNA obtained for cone 2.

3.4. Sequence Analysis

3.4.1. Sequence Analysis Using NCBI BLAST and ORF Finder

Nucleotide BLAST results showed 99% sequence identity with the isolate CLCuBuV-[PK-Okara: 11] (Accession number: HF549184) and CLCuKV-Fai [PK: Fai1] (Accession number: AJ496286).

Sequence analysis was done using open reading frame finder (ORF finder). Three partial ORFs were found in C-59. In frame amino acid number of each protein translated form the partial ORFs were predicted and compared with that in the full length isolates (Table 3.1) and amino acid sequence identity is shown in Table 3.2.

Table 3.1. Predicted ORFs based on nucleotides.

ORFs in C-59	Predicted number of nucleotides in C-59	Position of ORFs in a typical begomovirus
СР	378 - 1062	292 - 1062
Ren	1059 -1211	1059 - 1463
C5	378 - 807	283 - 807

Table 3.2. Amino acid sequence identity.

ORFs	Predicted number of amino acids in C-59	Predicted Amino acids	Predicted number of amino acids in matched isolates	Percent identity
СР	208	256	Cotton leaf curl Kokhran virus (Accession number: NC_004583)	99%
REn	50	134	Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus (Accession number: AM774301)	98%
C5	161	175	Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus (Accession number: FN645929)	99%

3.4.2. Construction of Sequence Map

The sequence map shows that the C5 gene and the CP gene overlap with each other but in different orientation. Some part of REn overlaps with the CP gene. The CP ORF is present in the virion sense strand while REn ORF is located on the complementary sense strand.

3.5. Phylogenetic Analysis

The phylogenetic dendrogarm based on multiple sequence alignments between partial sequence obtained in the present study and with sequences available in the database showed its presence between CLCuBuV and CLCuKV (Figure 3.3).



Figure 3.3. Phylogenetic tree generated using CLC sequence viewer. Cotton leaf curl Multan virus-Hisar[Pakistan: Faisalabad 3] (Accession number: AJ132430), Cotton leaf curl Mulatn virus -[China:GY2:2011] (Accession number: JQ963627), Cotton leaf curl Rajhasthan virus-

[India:Bathinda:2005] (Accession number: JF509749), Cotton leaf curl-[India:Abohar:2010] (Accession number: JF502364), Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus-[Pakistan:Faisalabad:2010] (Accession number: HF549181), Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus-[Pakistan:Okra:2012] (Accession number: HE985227), Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus-[Pakistan-Okara:2011] (Accession number: HF549184), Cotton leaf curl Gezira virus-Egypt[Egypt: Cairo: Okra] (Accession number: AY036010), Cotton leaf curl Gezira virus-Sudan[Sudan: Shambat: Okra] (Accession number: AY036008), Cotton leaf curl Shadadpur virus-[Pakistan:Shahdadpur:2005] (Accession number: FN552001), Cotton leaf curl Shadadpur virus-[Pakistan:Tandojam:2005] (Accession number: FN552002), Papaya leaf curl virus-India[India: Lucknow] (Accession number: Y15934), Papaya leaf curl virus-Pakistan[Pakistan:Cotton:2002] (Accession number: AJ436992), Ageratum enation virus-[Nepal:2001] (Accession number: AJ437618), Ageratum enation virus-[Pakistan:Lahore:2004] (Accession number: AM261836), Tomato yellow leaf curl virus-Honghe[China: Yunnan231:Tobbaco:2005] (Accession number: AM260701), Cotton leaf curl Kokhran virus-Faisalabad[Pakistan: Faisalabad 1] (Accession number: AJ496286), Cotton leaf curl Kokhran virus-[Pakistan:Sakrand:2005] (Accession number: FN552006) . Cotton leaf curl Bangalore virus-[India:Bangalore:2004] (Accession number: AY705380) and C-59 partial sequence cloned.

4. CONCLUSION

Cotton leaf curl disease (CLCuD) has been a main focal point for begomo- virologists. The disease emerged as a local nuisance in Nigeria and was ultimately reported from Sudan with a reduction in cotton production in Gezira (Bailey, 1934). In India, it was reported from Sriganganagar, Rajasthan in 1993 (Ajmera, 1994). Pakistan being the largest exporter of raw cotton depends on its export for foreign exchange. To date, the decline in cotton production in the country is largely due to this disease. Emergence of the disease was reported from Multan in 1967 (Hussain et al., 2001). Since then it has been a major limitation to cotton production in the genomes of begomoviruses rendering them dominant to the disease resistance imparts special emphasis on the molecular characterization of the recombining viruses.

The agent underlying the cotton leaf curl disease (CLCuD) was not clearly characterized at the time of the disease incidence. Mullis et al., 1986 described polymerase chain reaction as an in vitro DNA amplification technique that utilizes various enzymes to amplify a DNA fragment. The technique led to molecular cloning of the amplified DNA. Partial as well as full length sequences of begomoviruses have thus been analyzed in detail. Since 1998, four evident begomovirus species causing CLCuD were prevalent in Pakistan but the begomoviral genome components were not recognized (Zhou et al., 1998). Briddon et al., 1999 reported the presence of DNA A associated with the disease and at the same time Mansoor et al., 1999 reported the presence of betasatellite along with DNAA.

Mixed infections were reported by Zhou et al., 1998 in cotton plants. The Several begomoviruses prevalent at that time and known to cause the disease infected the cotton host plants simultaneously. This had resulted in mixed infections. The resultant of these infections was the emergence of Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus (CLCuBuV) prevalent as a single dominant species infecting cotton in Pakistan. The virus has emerged as a serious threat to cotton production in Pakistan since 2001. It is a recombinant of Cotton leaf curl Kokhran virus (CLCuKV) and Cotton leaf curl Multan virus (CLCuMV). The virus has been previously reported form Vehari, Khanewal, Muzaffargarh, Layyah and Faisalabad. The recombination between the two has resulted in the loss of an intact transcriptional activator protein (TrAP). The coat protein of the CLCuBuV is contributed by the partial sequence of CLCuKV (Hina et al., 2012).

The question arises that why the C-59 partial sequence shares 99% sequence identity to the Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus (CLCuBuV) and Cotton leaf curl Kokhran virus (CLCuKV) partial sequences although both begomoviruses are distinct but closely related? The answer to the question lies in the fact that the virion sense sequences of the CLCuBuV are contributed by CLCuKV ((Amrao et al., 2010a).

The sequence on the virion sense strand of Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus (CLCuBuV) relates to the coat protein (CP) or V1 and the pre-coat protein or V2 (Glick et al., 2008). The universal primer CLCV1/2 used in the present research amplifies some part of CP and V2 along replication enhancer protein (REn) and some part of transcriptional activator protein (TrAP). Sequence analysis using open reading frame finder (ORF finder) gave a significant picture that the partial CP ORF present in the C-59 partial sequence is contributed by Cotton leaf curl Kokhran virus (CLCuKV) whereas partial REn ORF is related to CLCuBuV. Another ORF has been analyzed in Burewala strain. The ORF has been termed as C5. It has been shown to overlap with the CP ORF. The C5 present in the cloned C-59 partial sequence is related to CLCuBuV.

Since, CLCuBuV is a recombinant of CLCuKV and Cotton leaf curl Multan virus and the coat protein region of CLCuBuV was derived from CLCuKV that is most probable reason that the available sequence showed identity with CLCuBuV as well as CLCuKV. A complete characterization of full length virus will determine whether isolate C-59 is CLCuBuV or CLCuKV. Literature indicates that there is no existence of CLCuKV within the region and CLCuBuV is dominating within Indo-Pak region.

5.ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The manuscript represents original and exclusive work generated in assistantship with Dr. Muhammad Tahir's HEC funded grant: Development and validation of transgenic resistance in Nicotiana benthamiana by the expression of artificial microRNA (amiRNA) carrying begomovirus. Thanks to Dr. Muhammad Tahir for his supervision and diligent guidance in order to reproduce this manuscript.

ABBREVIATIONS

μ	Micron
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	Ammonium sulphate
AEV	Ageratum enation virus
AYVV	Ageratum yellow vein virus
CLCuA V	Cotton leaf curl Alabad virus
CLCu Bu V	Cotton leaf curl Burewala virus
CLCuD	Cotton leaf curl disease
CLCu GB	Cotton leaf curl Gezira Betasatellite
CLCu GV	Cotton leaf curl Gezira virus
CLCuKV	Cotton leaf curl Kokhran virus
CLCuMB	Cotton leaf curl Multan Betasatellite
CLCuMV	Cotton leaf curl Multan virus
CLCu RV	Cotton leaf curl Rajasthan virus
CLCuSV	Cotton leaf curl Shadadpur virus
CP/V1	coat protein
CR	common region
CTAB	Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide
EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetra acetate
IR	Intergenic region
MgCl ₂	Magnesium ch loride
MP	Movement protein
NaOH	Sodiu m Hydro xide
NSP	Nuclear shuttle protein
OkEV	Okra enation virus
PaLCu V	Papaya leaf curl virus
REn/C3	Replication enhancer protein
Rep	Replication associated protein
SCR	Satellite conserved region
TrAp/C3	Transcription activator protein

REFERENCES

Ajmera BD. 1994. Occurrence of leaf curl virus on American cotton (G. Hirsutum) in north Rajasthan. Paper presentation, National seminar on cotton production challenges in the 21st century.

Amrao L, Akhter S, Tahir MN, Amin I, Briddon RW.and Mansoor S. 2010. Cotton leaf curl disease in Sindh province of Pakistan is associated with recombinant begomovirus components. Virus research, 153: 161-165.

Amrao L, Amin I, Shahid MS, Briddon RW.and Mansoor S. (2010). Cotton leaf curl disease in resistant cotton is associated with a single begomovirus that lacks an intact transcriptional activator protein. Virus research, 152(1-2):153-163.

Briddon RW, Mansoor S, Bedford ID, Pinner MS. and Markham PG. 1999. Clones of cotton leaf curl geminivirus induce symptoms atypical of cotton leaf curl disease. Virus Genes, 20(1): 19-26.

Glick E, Zarachya A, Levy Y, Mett A, Gidoni D, Belausov E, Citovsky V, Gafni Y. 2008. Interaction with host SGS3 is required for suppression of RNA silencing by tomato yellow leaf curl virus V2 protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 105: 157-161.

Hanley-Bowdoin L, Settlage SB, Orozco BM, Nagar S. and Robertson D. 1999.Geminiviruses: Models for Plant DNA Replication, Transcription, and Cell Cycle Regulation, Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 18(1): 71-106.

Henneberry TJ, Jones WA, Perring TM. and Faust RM. 2000. Silverleaf whitefly: National research, action and technology transfer plan (Formerly Sweetpotato Whitefly, Strain B). Third annual review of the Second 5-Year Plan, US Department of Agriculture., Agricultural Research Service, 209.

Hina S, Javed MA, Haider S. and Saleem M. 2012. Isolation and sequence analysis of cotton infecting Begomoviruses. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 44:223-230.

Hussain T, Tahir M. and Mahmood T. 1991. Cotton leaf curl virus. A review. Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology., 3: 57-61.

Hussain, T., Tahir, M. and Mahmood, T. 1991. Cotton leaf curl virus. A review. Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology, 3: 57-61.

Jupin I, De Kouchkovsky F, Jouanneau F. and Gronenborn B. (1994). Movement of tomato yellow leaf curl geminivirus (TYLCV): Involvement of the protein encoded by ORF C4. Virology, 204: 82-90.

Kallender H, Petty ITD, Stein, VE, Panico M, BLench IP, Etienne AT, Morris HR, Coutts RHA. and Buck KW. 1998. Identification of the coat protein gene of the tomato golden mosaic virus. Journal General Virology, 69: 1351-1357.

Lazarowitz SG, Wu LC, Rogers S G. and Elmer JS. 1992. Sequence-specific interaction with the viral AL1 protein identifies a geminivirus DNA replication origin. Plant Cell, 4: 799-809. Mansoor S, Briddon RW, Bull SE, Bedford ID, Bashir A, Hussain M, Saeed M, Zafar Y, Malik KA, Fauquet C. and Markham PG. 2003. Cotton leaf curl disease is associated with multiple monopartite begomoviruses supported by single DNA-beta. Archives of Virology, 148: 1969-1986.

Mansoor S, Khan S H, Bashir A, Saeed M, Zafar Y, Malik KA, Briddon RW, Stanley J. and Markham PG. 1999. Identification of a novel circular single-stranded DNA associated with cotton leaf curl disease in Pakistan. Virology, 254.

Mubin M, Amin I, Amrao L, Briddon RW. and Mansoor S. 2010. The hypersensitive response induced by the V2 protein of the monopartite begomovirus is countered by the C2 protein. Molecular Plant Pathology, 11(2): 245-254.

Mullis KB. Faloona F, Scharf S, Saiki R, Horn G, Erlich H. 1986. Specific enzymatic amplification of DNA in vitro: The polymerase chain reaction. Cold spring harbor symposia on quantitative biology, 51: 263-273.

Nagar S, Pedersen TJ, Carrick KM, Hanley-Bowdoin L. and Robertson D. 1995. A geminivirus induces expression of a host DNA synthesis protein in terminally differentiated plant cells. Plant Cell, 7: 705-719.

Pasumarthy KK, Mukherjee SK. and Choudhury NR. 2011. The presence of Tomato leaf curl Karala virus AC3 protein enhances viral DNA replication and modulates virus induced gene silencing mechanisms in tomato plants. Virology Journal, 8: 178.

Rojas MR, Jiang H, Salati R, Xoconostle-Cazares B, Sudarshna MR, Lucas WJ. and Gilbertson RL. 2001. Functional analysis of proteins involved in monopartite, Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl virus. Virology, 291: 110-125.

Saunders K, Briddon RW, Stanley J. 2008. Replication promiscuity of DNA β , satellites associated with monopartite begomoviruses; deletion mutagenesis of the Ageratum yellow vein virus DNA-[beta] satellite localizes sequences involved in replication. Journal of general Virology, 89: 3165-3172.

Sharma P. and Ikegami M. 2009. Subcellular localization of V2 protein Tomato leaf curl java virus by using green fluorescent protein and yeast hybrid system. Protoplasma, 248(2): 281-8.

Tan HNP, Wong SM, Wu M, Bedford ID, Saunders K. and Stanley J. 1995. Genome organization of ageratum yellow vein virus, a monopartite whitefly-transmitted geminivirus isolated from a common weed. Journal of General Virology, 16: 2915-2922.

Yadava P, Suyal G. and Mukherjee SK. 2010.Begomovirus DNA replication and Pathogenicity. Current Science, 98(3): 360-368.

Yang X, Baliji S, Buchman RC, Wang H, Lindbo J. A, Sunter G. and Bisaro DM. 2007.Functional modulation of the geminivirus AL2 transcription factor and silencing suppressor by self-interaction. Journal of Virology, 81(21): 11972-11981.

Zhou X, Liu Y, Robinson DJ.and Harrison DJ. 1998. Four

	ani isolates of cotton leaf curl virus and A-A of geminivirus isolates from okra. plogy, 79: 915-923.	
Characterizaion of DN	 Z, Zhang Z, Li Z, Fauquet CM. 2003. A β associated with begomoviruses in r co-evolution with their cognate viral 	
NA-A. Journal of Ger	neral Virology, 84: 237-247.	